



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

10/041,750 01/07/2002 Jason Klivington 4860P2739 8791 7590 08/26/2005 EXAMINER BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN AN, SHAWN S 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD ART UNIT PAPE	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD	10/041,750	01/07/2002	Jason Klivington	4860P2739	3969	
12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD	8791 7	590 08/26/2005	EXAMINER			
				AN, SHAWN S		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
LOS ANGELES, CA 90025-1030 2613	LOS ANGELE	S, CA 90025-1030		2613		

DATE MAILED: 08/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/041,750	KLIVINGTON, JASON		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Shawn S. An	2613		

		Onawii O. 741	2010	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	iress
THE	REPLY FILED <u>09 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follown places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	n the same day as filing a Notice wing replies: (1) an amendmen office of Appeal (with appeal fee ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	e of Appeal. To avoid aba t, affidavit, or other evide) in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	-		
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the m (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	nailing date of the final reject	ion.
have to the contract the contra	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sthin (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office latereduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) CE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CF tension and the corresponding am shortened statutory period for reply r than three months after the mailir	ount of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off	riate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed NDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a l	orief will not be entered b	ecause
ν. Ш	(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			.0004
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		ly reducing or simplifying	the issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of final	y rejected claims.	
	NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. 🔲	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of No	n-Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)):		
6. 🗌	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).	llowable if submitted in a separ	rate, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:] will be entered and an	explanation of
	Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-15</u> .			
	Claim(s) rejected. <u>1-15.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bubecause applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			
	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under a	ppeal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•		•
REQ	JEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. 🛚	The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the applicat	ion in condition for allowa	nce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pag	oer No(s)	
13. 🗀	Other:		-0	78 .
			<u> </u>	
			SHAWN PRIMARY EX	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are still not pursuasive.

Applicant argues that Tahara's reference cannot teach selection of frame or field data based, at least in part, on the number of coefficients in the frame and the field based transformed data.

However, Examiner respectfully disagrees. Tahara indeed teaches selecting (Fig. 13, 255) either the frame based data or field based data based on the number of non-zero coefficients (coefficients representing small quantity of data) from the frame DCT unit (200) comprising frame based data and the field DCT unit (201) comprising field based data (abs.; col. 16, lines 48-67; col. 17, lines 1-8).

It is agreeable that Tahara's reference does perform either frame based or field based transformation, but not both.

Nevertheless, Tahara does indeed teach selecting either the frame based data or field based data based on the number of non-zero coefficients in the frame based data and the field based data, without having to perform both field and frame based transformation.

Tahara's lacking limitation of performing both field and frame based transformation are clearly met by lizuka's reference.

lizuka teaches video signal compression/encoding system comprising means for performing an encoding information on a set of data representing a video frame both as frame based-data and as field based data to generate arrays of frame based data and arrays of field based data (Fig. 1, 409; Fig. 4; col. 5, lines 66-67; col. 6, lines 1-13).

Applicant further argues on to state that lizuka's reference does not disclose a selection between the field based and the frame based transformation. Examiner respectfully disagrees.

lizuka's reference clearly teaches a Q/Encoding circuit (Fig. 1, 407) for judging which of the frame and field DCT coefficients stored in the frame and field buffers (403, 404) can be processed more efficiently in the frame based data and the field based data, and generating a DCT select signal (Fig. 1, 422) indicative of buffer memory in which the DCT coefficients judged as more efficient are stored, and applying the DCT select signal (col. 5, lines 24-30).

Therefore, Applicat's arguments are considered moot in view of the Examiner's responses as discussed above.

S8

SHAWN AN PRIMARY EXAMINER